Vertigo

SIS Safety Lifecycle Software



The Kenexis Vertigo[™] safety instrumented system safety lifecycle software provides and all-in-one software solution to the design of SIS in accordance with IEC 61511 /ISA 84. The software provides users with a powerful enterprise solution for developing conceptual designs, documenting/tracking design changes, and maintaining design

documentation throughout the life of the system.

Server Hardware Specifications

Vertigo is built on cloud based architecture, based on Microsoft Azure, to create a multisite multi-user global enterprise software experience. The core of the application framework are Kenexis' powerful server computers that run the application code and store data.

Server Operating System:	Windows Server - 2013
Database Engine:	Microsoft SQL Server – Latest Version
Web Server Engine:	Microsoft Internet Information Services – Latest Version
Server Hardware:	Multi-Core Multi-threaded High Performance Processor Array
Data Storage:	RAID Multi-Drive
Availability:	>99% Guaranteed
Data Backup:	Daily – Offsite; 30 days of backup available; Offsite Annual backup
	maintained for 5 years

Client Requirements

Users of Vertigo access Kenexis' powerful server architecture through their own client devices through a simple web browser. Vertigo can be accessed by almost any computer, tablet, or even smart phone, in almost any location – worldwide, at any time. This allows diverse work teams across the globe to seamlessly work together regardless of time zone or computer system.

Client Device Types: Supported Operating Systems: Supported Web Browsers¹: Connectivity: Computers (and laptops), Tablet Computers, Smart Phones Windows, Mac OS, Linux, iOS, Android, Windows Phone Chrome, Edge, Internet Explorer, Safari, Firefox Any variety of internet connectivity



¹ Some more advanced features, such as manipulation of 3D graphics, are only supported by the most recent versions of a browser. Otherwise, Kenexis supports the past three versions of each browser listed.



Client Accounts

Kenexis is robust enterprise software, but is has the flexibility to allow organizations and individual users to set up accounts to meet their own needs.

Account Types:	Concurrent User - This account is accessed from the Kenexis Public Server (shared application code and database). Unlimited users from a single organization are licensed to access the software and associated data, but only the specified number (i.e., the number of licenses) can access the application simultaneously. Professional Accounts are available on an annual basis.
	Pay-As-You-Go – This account is accessed from the Kenexis Public Server (shared application code and database). Unlimited users from a single organization are licensed to access the software and associated data with no limit on the number of simultaneous users, and there is no limit on the number of users that can be accessing the application simultaneously. At the end of each calendar month, the account is invoiced for the duration of time that the application was used during that month.
Private Node:	As an option, any user or group of users, can obtain a private node. The private node is operated by the same powerful Kenexis server system that operates the public server, but in a private node the group maintains a separate set of application code and a separate database for results storage. Private nodes can also be customized with custom graphics and custom URL for access.
Private Server:	As an option, any user or group of users, can obtain a private server. The private server allows for complete physical and functional separation from any other users of the Kenexis instrumented safeguard suite. This option provides for a completely separate and dedicated computer system for the user group.

Handling Multiple Project

Vertigo, through the KISS project manager, allows for the creation, manipulation, and management of an unlimited number of projects.

Project Types:	Vertigo – Safety Instrumented System Safety Lifecycle Management
	Acorn – Fault Tree Analysis (Included with Vertigo)
	Effigy – Fire and Gas Mapping (Sold Separately)
	OpenPHA – Process Hazards Analysis (HAZOP and LOPA)
Tracked Project Data:	Study Name, Study Type, Date Modified, Current Revision



Project Actions:

Create New Study, Load Study, Copy Study, Delete Study, Import/Export Study²

Data Libraries

Vertigo contains data libraries that allow for fast and accurate modeling of a wide variety of safety instrumented function configurations. Kenexis has compiled data for most safety instrumented system equipment vendors. In addition to the Kenexis libraries users can create their own custom libraries.

Available Libraries:	Process Connections, Sensor Interface Devices, Sensors, Logic Solvers,
Equipment Attributes:	Final Element Interface Devices, Final Elements Failure Rate (Overall), Safe Failure Percentage, Diagnostic Coverage
-1.1.	(Dangerous), Diagnostic Coverage (Safe), Device Type (A or B), Process
	Parameter Measured Data Trace Reference, Hardware Fault
	Tolerance, Maximum SIL Capability
Equipment Data Source:	Vendor Literature or Kenexis Analysis of publicly available databases
	supplemented by Kenexis analysis and confidential sources of failure
	statistics for process industry facilities. Equipment vendor data is
	overseen through close coordination with industry through the
	Kenexis Vendor Coordination Program
Equipment Vendors Included:	ABB, ASCO, Bentley Nevada, Berthold, CCC, Detronics, Emerson,
	Endress+Hauser, Fisher, HIMA, Kidde, K-TEK, Magnetrol, Masoneilan,
	Maxon, Mokveld, MSA, Moore Industries, Neles, Pepperl+Fuchs,
	Rockwell, Rosemount, Siemens, Sierra Monitor, Smar, Triconex, United
	Electric, Vega, WIKA, Yamatake, Yokogawa, Zellweger,
	Other vendors and makes can be added within minutes upon submittal
	of IEC 61508 Part 2&3 certification report style testing results

	pressure transmitte	er								
Library List	Туре	Description	Failure Rate	Percent	Safe	Dangerous	Arch Const	Database		
Custom			(per hour)	Safe	Coverage	Coverage	Туре	Reference	Revision	
Eds Custom	Pressure	Pressure Transmitter -	5.17E-7	73.3 %	100.0 %	50.0 %	В	1.166	3.11	
··· Kenexis Premium	Transmitter -	Manufacturer:								
En Failure Rate Data	Endress+Hauser	Endress+Hauser - Mo	del:							
Process Connections	Deltabar S	Deltabar S PMD75 /								
	PMD75 / FMD77	FMD77 / FMD78 -	Sensor Type Details (REA						×	1
Sensor Interfaces	/ FMD78 (Low	Differential / Gauge	sensor type betails (NEA	D ONEI)						4
Sensors	Trip / Diag /	Absolute Pressure 1	Type	Pressure Transmitter	- Generic	Des	scription Generi	c Pressure		
Logic Solvers	(Clean)	Configured for Low	<i></i>	(Hi Trip / Diag / Clea			Transm	itter - Either	_	
- Final Elements		Low and High signa						ntial Pressure	or	
		mA & >20 mA) PLC						Pressure type ured for High		
Einal Element Interfaces		Diagnostics - In Cle								
🗄 - SRS General Requirements		service	Failure Rate (Per Hour)	1.5E-06		Percent	Safe (%) 10.0 %			
··· Kenexis Standard			Safe Coverage (%)	100.0 %		Dangerous Cove	rage (%) 56.0 %			
	Pressure	Generic Pressure	Database Reference	1 111		Database	Revision 3.01			
	<u>Transmitter -</u>	Transmitter - Either				Database				
	<u>Generic (Hi Trip /</u>		Architectural Constraint Type	В					Close	
	Diag / Clean)	Gauge Pressure typ								
		Configured for Hig								

² Importing and Exporting of complete studies can be performed from an export file generated by Vertigo or other KISS applications. The import/export file will be an .xlsx file with database data. Export/Import files allow transfer of data amount multiple different servers.



Instrumented Protective Function List (SIF List)

Vertigo defines all the instrumented functions that are included in a project or facility in the IPF List. No need to limit application to SIS, all SCAI (Safety Controls, Alarms, and Interlocks) can have their lifecycles (including specifications and proof testing) in Vertigo, easing compliance with the ISA 84.91.03 standard. The IPF list allows for the definition of each function in terms of inputs, outputs, voting logic, and logic solver. This page also allows for access to more detailed information on each function and the ability to delete or edit functions.

													_
IPF List	SIL Verification Summary Eve	ents	Rev	isions									
+ Add New IPF													
Tag	IPF Description		IPF Type	Selected SIL	Senso Tag	rs Voting	Input Group Logic	Final Eler Tag	nents Voting	Output Group Logic	IPF Notes		
	Vibration Stops Compressor (Typical of Many)							(STOP)					4
USC-104G	<u>Gas Compressor (C-104) High-High Axi</u> Displacement Stops Compressor	<u>al</u>	SIF	SIL 1	GT-105A	1001	1001	C-104-M (STOP)	1001	1001		×	
USC-104H	Gas Compressor (C-104) Low-Low Lube Differential Pressure Starts Aux Lube Or Pump		BPCS	No SIL	PDT-105C (LOW)	1001	1001	P-104B-M (START)	1001	1001		×	
USC-105A	Export Gas Pipeline Low-Low Pressure Closes Outlet Valve		SIF	SIL 1	PT-106B (LOW)	1001	1001	SDV-106 (CLOSE)	1001	1001		×	
Z-FGS-101D	High Pressure Separator Water Deluge		F&G	No SIL	FZT-101A	1001	1001	UZV- 101FGS	1001	XooX	Water Deluge of High Pressure Separator	×	
								SDV-101 (CLOSE)	1001		Based on Fire Detection		•

Document List

The user records information about documents that are referenced by the SIS design basis study, including drawing number, revision, and description. In addition, the ability to create a link to an external database that contains the drawing is available.

+ Add New Document				
Drawing Number	Revision	Document Type	Description	Link
<u>D254.001</u>	1	P&ID v	Process Flow Diagram - Gas Production Facility	https://onedrive.live.com/redir?
<u>D254.002-01</u>	1	P&ID v	Legend Sheet - Gas Production Facility	https://onedrive.live.com/redir? resid=3D7CB78ABBBF4372119330&authke Zw&ithint=file%2cpdf
<u>D254.002-02</u>	1	P&ID v	High Pressure Separator - Gas Production Facility	https://onedrive.live.com/redir?
<u>D254.002-03</u>	1	P&ID v	Low Pressure Separator - Gas Production Facility	https://onedrive.live.com/redir?
<u>D254.002-04</u>	1	P&ID v	Pipeline Pump - Gas Production Facility	https://onedrive.live.com/redir?

Instrumented Protective Function Groups

Vertigo collects and arranges SIS design basis information in terms of Instrumented Protective Function (IPF) Groups. An IPF Group is a collection of functions that are all related, usually as the result of serving a single piece of major process equipment, or a



plant, or even an entire facility. The IPF Group is used to sort and order instrumentation in the safety requirements specifications and cause-and-effect diagrams.

TAG	DESCRIPTION		
USC-101	High Pressure Separator	1	×
USC-102	Low Pressure Separator	1ª	×
USC-103	Export Pump	P	×
USC-104	Export Compressor	P	×
USC-105	Liquid Export Pipeline	<i></i>	×

Instrument Type Data

Vertigo contains an extensive library of SIS equipment that includes the failure rate data required for SIL verification. Each study contains an instrument type list where data can be imported from the main libraries. The instrument type data is stored at the study level to ensure that any changes in the master library will not alter the validated and certified results for a particular study. This also allows custom device types to be built and utilized in specific projects.

Process Connections	Sensor Interfaces Sens	or Types	Logic Solve	er Types	Final Elemen	t Interfaces	Final Ele	ment Types		
+ Add New Sensor Type										
Туре	Description	Failure Rate (Per Hour)	Percent Safe	Safe Coverage	Dangerous Coverage	λ_{SD}	λ _{SU}	λ _{DD}	λ _{DU}	
Axial Displacement Sensor - Generic	No special diagnostics - for use with O&G sample plant only	5.00E-7	50.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.00E0	2.50E-7	0.00E0	2.50E-7	×
Flame Detector - Generic - UV/IR Detection	Flame Detector - Generic - UV/IR Detection	6.00E-6	30.0 %	0.0 %	65.0 %	0.00E0	1.80E-6	2.73E-6	1.47E-6	×
<u>Level Transmitter -</u> <u>Generic (Displacement /</u> <u>Hi Trip)</u>	Generic Level Transmitter - Displacer type - Configured for Low Trip - Low and High signal (<4 mA & >20 mA) PLC Diagnostics	3.00E-6	3.3 %	100.0 %	57.0 %	9.90E-8	0.00E0	1.65E-6	1.25E-6	×
<u>Level Transmitter -</u> Generic(Displacement / Lo Trip)	Generic Level Transmitter - Displacer type - Configured for Low Trip - Low and High signal (<4 mA & >20 mA) PLC Diagnostics	3.00E-6	55.0 %	100.0 %	7.0 %	1.65E-6	0.00E0	9.45E-8	1.26E-6	×
<u>Pressure Transmitter -</u> Generic (Hi Trip / Diag / Clean)	Generic Pressure Transmitter - Either Differential Pressure or Gauge Pressure type - Configured for High Trip - Low and High signal (<4 mA & >20 mA) PLC Diagnostics	1.50E-6	10.0 %	100.0 %	56.0 %	1.50E-7	0.00E0	7.56E-7	5.94E-7	×





Instrument List

Vertigo allows input of a list of instruments that are utilized in a study. The instruments are only contained once in the instrument list, and then can be utilized in multiple different SIF. Each individual instrument is associated with a database instrument type, improving efficiency of data input.

Sensors	Logic Solvers Final Elements							
+ Add New Sense	or							
Tag	Service Description	Instrument Type	Voting	Test Interval (Months)	PFD _{Avg}	STR (Per Hour)		
FT-103B (LOW)	Export Pump Discharge	Pressure Transmitter - Generic (Lo Trip / Diag / Clean)	1001	12	2.63E-3	9.00E-7	×	
FZT-101A	High Pressure Separator Fire Detection	Flame Detector - Generic - UV/IR Detection	1001	12	6.44E-3	4.53E-6	×	
<u>GT-105A</u>	Gas Compressor Axial Displacement	Axial Displacement Sensor - Generic	1001	12	1.10E-3	2.50E-7	×	
<u>LT-101B (HIGH)</u>	High Pressure Separator	Level Transmitter - Generic (Displacement / Hi Trip)	1001	12	5.46E-3	1.75E-6	×	
<u>LT-101B (LOW)</u>	High Pressure Separator	Level Transmitter - Generic(Displacement / Lo Trip)	1001	12	5.50E-3	1.74E-6	×	
<u>LT-102B (HIGH)</u>	Low Pressure Separator (V-102)	Level Transmitter - Generic (Displacement / Hi Trip)	1001	12	5.46E-3	1.75E-6	×	
<u>LT-102B (LOW)</u>	Low Pressure Separator (V-102)	Level Transmitter - Generic(Displacement / Lo Trip)	1001	12	5.50E-3	1.74E-6	×	
						· - · - ·	×	

Facility Overlay:

All equipment items and detectors are drawn on top of the facility overlay graphic to provide context.

Instrument Details – Calculation

Selecting a specific instrument allows information required for performance calculations to be entered and edited. Detailed calculation results for all attributes are presented.

Input Data:

Туре:	Selection of the instrument type from the instrument type database.
Tag:	Tag name of the instrument
Description:	Service description for the instrument.
Voting:	Selection of the voting arrangement for the instrument – 1001, 1002, 2002, 2003
Testing Interval:	The duration, in months, in between manual function tests for this instrument.
Process Connection:	The instrument type for the process connection (selection)
Sensor Interfaces:	The instrument type for up to two different sensor interface devices like IS barriers (selection)
MTTR (Hours):	Maximum duration required to repair the failed instrument
Common Cause Flag:	Selection that determines whether common cause failure contribution
	is calculated. If true, input of common cause beta factor is required.
Detected Failure Flag:	Selection that determines whether a detected failure automatically results in movement of the process to a safe state, or continued



Online Testing:

Imperfect Testing:



process operation with bad process variable alarm. If not true, input of diagnostic test interval is required.

Selection that determines whether the contribution of unavailability due to instrument bypassing during online testing is calculated. If true, a test duration is required.

Selection that determines whether the contribution of unreliability due to imperfect manual testing is calculated. If true, a manual proof test coverage factor and instrument useful life duration is required.

iype Le	vel Transmitter - Generic (Displa	cement / Hi Trip)		•	New
Tag LT	<u>1018</u> (HIGH)	Description	n High Pressure Sepa	arator		
Test Interval [12 (Months)		Votin	g 1001		•	
Process Connection	bing - Generic (Clean Service)				•	New
Sensor Interface 1	trinsic Safety Barrier - Generic				-	New
Sensor Interface 2 N	one				-	
verall Subsystem Failure F	ates 9	λ _{SD} λ _S .90E-8 1.50		λ _{DU} 2.15E-6		DN 0E+0
Verall Subsystem Failure F Failure Component	ates 9 Factor(s)			2.15E-6	0.0	0E+0
		.90E-8 1.50	E-7 1.65E-6	2.15E-6	0.0	0E+0
Failure Component	Factor(s)	.90E-8 1.50	E-7 1.65E-6 PFD _{avg} Contributions	2.15E-6 STR Con (Per	0.0	0E+0
Failure Component	Factor(s) MTTR (Hours):	90E-8 1.50 72	E-7 1.65E-6 PFD _{avg} Contributions	2.15E-6 STR Con (Per 1.5	0.0 tribu Hour	0E+0
Failure Component Dangerous Undetected Spurious Failure	Factor(s) MTTR (Hours): ;e Beta Factor:	72 0.005	PFD _{avg} Contributions 9.41E-3	2.15E-6 STR Con (Per 1.5 0.0	0.0 tribu Hour	0E+0
Failure Component Dangerous Undetected Spurious Failure Include Common Cau	Factor(s) MTTR (Hours): ;e Beta Factor:	72 0.005	PFD _{avg} Contributions 9.41E-3 0.00E+0	2.15E-6 STR Con (Per 1.5 0.0	0.0 tribu Hour 0E-7 0E+0	0E+0
Failure Component Dangerous Undetected Spurious Failure Include Common Cau Trip on Detected Failu	Factor(s) Factor(s) MTTR (Hours): Beta Factor: re Diagnostic Interval (Hours):	72 0.005	E-7 1.65E-6 PFDavg Contributions 9.41E-3 0.00E+0 0.00E+0	2.15E-6 STR Con (Per 1.5 0.0	0.0 tribu Hour 0E-7 0E+0	0E+0
Failure Component Dangerous Undetected Spurious Failure Include Common Cau Trip on Detected Failu Online Testing	Factor(s) MTTR (Hours): e Beta Factor: re Diagnostic Interval (Hours): Test Duration (Hours):	90E-8 1.50 72 0.005 0.005	E-7 1.65E-6 PFDavg Contributions 9.41E-3 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0 0.00E+0	2.15E-6 STR Con (Per 1.5 0.0	0.0 tribu Hour 0E-7 0E+0	0E+0

Calculated Data:

Summary Failure Rates:	Summed failure rates for the overall subsystem considering the process connection and interface devices in addition to the instrument
PFD _{avg} Contribution:	The calculated contribution to the average probability of failure on demand from each attribute of probability of failure.
STR Contribution:	The calculated contribution to the spurious trip rate from each attribute of spurious trip rate
Total PFD _{avg} :	Total average probability of failure on demand, the sum of all the probability of failure on demand components
Total STR:	Total spurious trip rate, the sum of all the spurious trip rate components



SIL Verification Results

Vertigo provides SIL verification and conceptual design review results. This includes the achieved SIL along with a host of other metrics supporting the verification of achieved SIL.

Tag	USC-101B				- Results - IPF			
IPF Description	High Pressure Separator (V-101) Low-Low Pres	sure Closes Inlet Val	ve ,/	Achieved SIL?	Achieved RRF?	Achieve	ed HFT? 🧕
IPF Type	SIF	IPF Notes				Achieved SIL (PF	FD _{Avg}):	SIL 1
Selected SIL	SIL 1	T				Overall P	FD _{Avg} :	2.89E-2
Required RRF	10					Achieve	ed RRF	34.6
						Max SIL App		SIL 1
Input Group Logic		Output Group Logic	1001	•	Mini	mum Fault Tolerance Ach		Yes
	The SIL target for this fun					Overall MTTF- Jndetected Failure Rate (8.9 6.59E-6
Insors Logi	c Solvers Final Eleme	ents Recommer	ndations Revis	ions				
		ents Recommen		ions dd New Sensor	– Results - Sensor Subsystem –– PFD	STR (Per Hour)	Fau	It Tolerance
arch Sensors in St	c Solvers Final Eleme				-Results - Sensor Subsystem PFD _{avg} 1.94E-2	STR (Per Hour) 0.00E+0	Fau	It Tolerance 0
arch Sensors in Sti	c Solvers Final Eleme	•	+ A	dd New Sensor	PFDavg		Fau 4	0
arch Sensors in Sti	c Solvers Final Eleme udy: PT-101D (LOW) Voting	SFF	+ A Test Interval (Months)	dd New Sensor PFD _{avg}	PFD _{avg} 1.94E-2	0.00E+0		0
arch Sensors in Sti	c Solvers Final Eleme udy: PT-101D (LOW) Voting	SFF	+ A Test Interval (Months)	dd New Sensor PFD _{avg}	PFD _{avg} 1.94E-2 100 %	0.00E+0	4 . -	
arch Sensors in Sti	c Solvers Final Eleme udy: PT-101D (LOW) Voting	SFF	+ A Test Interval (Months)	dd New Sensor PFD _{avg}	PFD _{avg} 1.94E-2	0.00E+0	4 Ii Max	0
ag	c Solvers Final Eleme udy: PT-101D (LOW) Voting	SFF	+ A Test Interval (Months)	dd New Sensor PFD _{avg}	PFD _{avg} 1.94E-2 100 % - 80 % - 60 % -	0.00E+0 100 % - 80 % - 60 % -	4 Ii Max	0 3 1 0 SIL Achieved
arch Sensors in Sti	c Solvers Final Eleme udy: PT-101D (LOW) Voting	SFF	+ A Test Interval (Months)	dd New Sensor PFD _{avg}	PFD _{avg} 1.94E-2 100 % 80 % 60 % 40 %	0.00E+0	4 Ii Max	0 3 1 0 SIL Achieved PFD _{Avg}
	c Solvers Final Eleme udy: PT-101D (LOW) Voting	SFF	+ A Test Interval (Months)	dd New Sensor PFD _{avg}	PFD _{avg} 1.94E-2 100 % 80 % 60 % 40 % 20 %	0.00E+0	4 Ir Max Ir	0 3 1 0 SIL Achieved PFD _{Avg}

SIL Achievement Flags:	Indicator lights quickly show whether a SIF has achieved its various performance targets for SIL, RRF, and hardware fault tolerance (FHT)
Achieved SIL (PFD):	Safety integrity level achieved by the design only considering the average probability of failure on demand calculation.
Overall PFDavg:	The overall average probability of failure on demand achieved by the SIF considering the sensor, logic solver, and final element subsystems. Performance of the individual subsystems can be viewed in their respective tabs.
Achieved RRF:	Risk reduction factor (1/PFD) achieved by the safety instrumented function.
Max SIL Approved:	The maximum SIL that the SIF is approved for use in considering both the probability of failure on demand and architectural constraints (i.ee, minimum fault tolerance).
Min Fault Tolerance Achieved:	Flag indicating whether or not the required minimum fault tolerance for the SIF has been achieved. Calculation performed in accordance





with IEC61511 tables and IEC 61508 tables, least restrictive result utilized.

Overall MTTF(S):

The overall mean time to fail safe for the SIF, consider all subsystems. Dangerous Undetected Fail Rate: Rate of dangerous undetected failures of the SIF. This figure would be utilized if the SIF were a continuous mode SIF.

The tabular results are presented in combination with graphical indictors to enhance understanding of the performance metrics. More information is available on other tabs including calculations details for the sensor subsystem, logic solver subsystem, and final element subsystem, along with access to recommendations that are related to the SIF, and a tracking of revisions for the SIF.

Safety Requirements Specifications

Safety Requirements Specifications (SRS) information is stored and edited in a relational database format so that information only needs to be edited in one location, but can be reported in a variety of ways that may be required by users of the information. SRS information is stored at the following levels.

- Project / SIS Level
- Instrumented Protective Function Group Level
- Instrumented Protective Function (or SIF) Level
- Instrument Level •

SRS General Requirements

At the project or SIS level, SRS information can be entered as General Requirements that apply to all portions of an SIS. General requirements can be entered and edited individually, or imported in bulk from libraries.

SR	S General Requirements	IPF Requirements	Sensor Requirements	Logic	Solver Requirements	Final Element Requirements
+ Add	l New General Requirement					+ Import Requirements From Li
tem	Req Group			Requir	ement	
<u>1.1</u>						equirements of Instrumented Protective Functions (IPF) associated with the Gas F together represent the Safety Instrumented System (SIS). This document also unctional activity performed by the SIS regardless whether or not it is actedy critical. functional requirements and integrity requirements of each IPF.
<u>1.2</u>	Purpose SRS General Requirement De	etaile				s designed to be in compliance with ANSI/ISA 84 01-2004 Application of Safety s Industries and IEC 61511 Functional Safety – Safety Instrumented Systems for
2.1	Item Number	1.1				as Plant, including the IPF identified in the Gas Plant Safety Instrumented System Kitional non-safety critical actions.
2.2	·	This document specifies the Instrumented Protective Fun Gas Plant at the Texas City Fi the Safety Instrumented Sys' specifies requirements for al	ctions (IPF) associated with the acility. All IPF together represent tem (SIS). This document also Il of the functional activity Illess whether or not it is safety	Cancel	consensus standards from I on in achieving functional sa fic to achieving functional s detailed design. The select ning, configuration, and wiri II very important considerat t and shall be performed by	functional safety and safety integrity of the Gas Plant Unit SIS design as per SA and IEC (where applicable) and Client engineering practices that guide users of fety. This SRS provides general functional requirements of the SIS and details that afety. This document does not provide a comprehensive specification of the lion of specific equipment devices, materials of construction, details of ng, consideration of ambient conditions in device selection, details of installation, lons for safety instrumented system design, but are outside of the scope of this the detailed design contractor of the SIS specified in this document. memb provides only one component in achieving a safe process design.



SRS Cause-and-Effect Diagrams

Functional logic for the SIS can quickly and easily be generated for IPF groups. The bulk of the cause and effect diagram is automatically generated based on assignment of IPF groups to instruments. Only the intersections that define output responses to input activations need to be defined.

USC-101	-			
			Voting	1001
			Description	High Pressure Separator Inlet
			Tag	SDV-101 (CLOSE)
ag	Description	Voting	SC	8
.T-101B (HIGH)	High Pressure Separator	1001		Х
.T-101B (LOW)	High Pressure Separator	1001	×	Х
7-101D (HIGH)	High Pressure Separator	1001		Х
T-101D (LOW)	HIgh Pressure Separator	1001	~	
Update		•		

SRS Details – Sensors

SRS General Req	uirements	IPF Requirer	ments	Sensor Req	uirements Lo	ogic	Solver Requirements	Final Eleme	nt Requiremer	nts
+ Add New Sensor										
Tag	Service De	scription	Senso	or SRS Details					×	
<u>11-105-B/D/F/H/J</u>			SRS	Basic Data						
<u>TT-104 (HIGH)</u>				Tag	PT-101D (LOW)					
PT-102B (HIGH)										
<u>GT-105A</u>	Gas Compr	essor Axial Displa	Se	ervice Description	HIgh Pressure Separat	or				
PT-102B (LOW)										
PT-103A/C (LOW)				IPF Group	USC-101	•	Data Reference	D254 002-02		
FZT-101A	High Pressu	ire Separator Fire			(-	Trip Setting		\exists	
PT-104C (HIGH)				EU Low	0	$\overline{}$	EU High	500		
PT-105B (LOW)				Units	PSIG		Trip Setting Tolerance	2		
<u>XT-105-A-F</u>				Bypass Tag	HS-PT101D		Startup Override & Timer	N/A		
LT-110 (LOW)	Compresso	r Lube Oil	Data	a Sheet Reference	PT-101D SPEC			Vendor Manual 001		
PT-101D (LOW)	High Pressu	ire Separator		Input Type		•		Set output to 3.8 mA		
LT-101B (LOW)	High Pressu	ire Separator		Safety Critical	V		Element Response Time	0.3		
PT-101D (HIGH)	High Pressu	ire Separator						Update	Cancel	
LT-101B (HIGH)	High Pressu	ire Separator			030-101			D204.00	72-01	

IPF Group:

Data Reference:

Selection for which IPF from the IPF list that this instrument is associated with.

Selection for the document in the document list where that is the data sheet for this instrument.



Trip Type: Trip Setting: EU High/Low/Units:	Selection of the type of trip activation – LL, L, H, or HH The set point for the trip in engineering units Engineering unit range for the instrument including high, low, and units.
Element Response Time:	The time duration in which the instrument is expected to response to a demand.
Input Type:	Type of electrical input the device provides to the logic solver – analog input (AI), digital input (DI)
Data Reference:	Selection for the drawing in the drawing list where this instrument is contained.
Startup Override & Timer:	Data specifying the type of startup overrides or startup timers that are required for this instrument
Bypass Tag:	Tag number information for the bypass for the instrument
Safety Manual:	Selection for the document in the document list where that is the safety manual for this instrument.
Safety Critical:	Flag indicating whether or not this instrument is safety critical.

SRS Details – Logic Solver

SRS General Requi		IPF Requirements	Sensor Requirements	Logic Solver Requirements	Fina	I Element Requirements
+ Add New Logic Solver						
Tag	Service D	escription				
DCS						×
SIS PLC	Safety PLC					
		Solver SRS Details Basic Data Tag SIS PLC Service Description Safety I nent Response Time 0.25	PLC Logic Solver	Safety Manual PLC3 - v1.1		

Element Response Time:	The time duration in which the instrument is expected to response to a demand.
Service Description:	Service description for the instrument.
Safety Manual:	Selection for the document in the document list where that is the safety manual for this instrument.



SRS Details – Final Element

SRS General Requ		IPF Requirements		Logic Solver Requiren		
+ Add New Final Eleme	nt	Final Element SRS Deta	ils			
Tag C-104-M (STOP) SDV-102A (CLOSE) UZV-101FGS SDV-106 (CLOSE)	Service D High Press	Service Descript	Tag SDV-101 (CLOSE)	et		Drawing
SDV-102B (CLOSE) P-104B-M (START) SDV-103 (CLOSE) P-103-M (STOP) None SDV-101 (CLOSE)	None High Press	Target Leakage R Data Sheet Refere SIF Act Maintenance Override Output Tj	ince SDV-101 Spec	Data Reference Target Leakage Class Element Response Time Safety Manual Bypass/Handjack or Handwheel Interface Tag Safety Critical	Class IV 5 N/A N/A SDV-101	254.002-01
					Update Cance	

×

×××

×

××××

×

Target Leakage Rate:	Specified maximum leakage rate for valve used as SIF final element
Target Leakage Class:	Specified leakage class for valve used as SIF final element
Drawing Reference:	Selection for the drawing in the drawing list where this instrument is contained.
IPF Group:	Selection for which IPF from the IPF list that this instrument is associated with
SIF Action:	Action taken by the final element to move the process to a safe state
Maintenance Override Tag:	Tag number for maintenance override switch for the final element
SIF Reset:	Tag number for reset switch for the final element
Reset Location:	Location of the reset switch
Data Sheet Reference:	Selection for the document in the document list where that is the data sheet for this instrument
Element Response Time:	The time duration in which the instrument is expected to response to a demand.
Safety Manual:	Selection for the document in the document list where that is the safety manual for this instrument.
Bypass/Handjack/Handwheel:	Specification of a manual means of operating or bypassing an SIS valve.

Proof Test Tracking and Reporting

Vertigo includes the ability to track proof test and report out on the results of the testing. Each individual instrument can be tracked for testing. Vertigo provides lists of all instruments with summary information regarding testing interval, last tested date, due date, and indicator flag for test status. For each individual instrument a summary of testing page can be displayed which will provide information on commissioning and decommissioning dates, along with a listing of all of the test and repair events for the





device. Individual test / repair records for each device are entered that include the date of the test / repair, the result, failure mode of failure if a failure occurred, and notes related to the test / repair.

LI-TOLE Frigh Pressure Separator Level Hansmitter - Generic (Displacement / 12 Aug 1 2016 Aug 1 2017 HICH) HI Trip)		ng Logic Solver Testing	Final Element Testing						
(Months) Tested Date LT-101B High Pressure Separator Level Transmitter - Generic (Displacement / 12 Aug 1 2016 Aug 1 2017 Image: Constraint in the	+ Add New Sens	or					+ Cr	eate Tests Fo	r All Ser
LI-Ling High Pressure Separator Level transmitter - Generic (Displacement / 12 Aug 1 2016 Aug 1 2017 Image: Constraint of the constraint of	Tag	Service Description	Instrument Type					Status	
LT-101B LLCVV State Stat		High Pressure Separator		12	Aug 1 20)16	Aug 1 2017		×
LI-102B (HIGH) Tag PT-101D (LOW) Service High Pressure Separator 16 May 1 2017 16 LI-102B (LOW) Test Interval [24 (Months) Date Commissioned an 1 2014 Date Decommissioned 16 Dec 31 2016 16 PDT-105C (LOW) Add New Test 16 Dec 31 2016 14 Jan 1 2015 15 PT-101D (HIGH) Date Test P No records to display. Sensor Test Details Failure Mode Dangerous Undetected • 116 Aug 15 2017 16 PT-102B (HIGH) Notes faps frozen due to failure of heat tracing insulation • 16 Aug 15 2017 14 Jan 1 2016 Insett Cancel • 16 Aug 15 2017 16 PT-102B (LOW) Insett Cancel • • • 16 Aug 15 2017 16 PT-102B (LOW) Insett Cancel •	LT-101B (LOW)	🔠 Test Details			(×)	16	Jul 1 2017		×
LT-102B (LOW) LT-101 (LOW) Date Commissioned Jan 1 2014 Date Decommissioned PDT-105C. (LOW) PT-101D (LOW) PT-101D (LOW) PT-102B (LOW) (LOW) PT-102B (LOW) (LOW) PT-102B (LOW) (LOW) PT-102B (LOW) (LOW) (LOW) PT-102B (LOW) (LOW			1D (LOW) Service HIgh Pre	ssure Separator	-	16	Jun 1 2017		×
IT-110 (LOW) Date Commissioned Ian 1 2014 Date Decommissioned Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2014 PDT-105C + Add New Test Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2014 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2014 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2014 PDT-105C + Add New Test Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2014 PT-105C + Add New Test Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2015 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2015 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2014 PT-101D No records to display. Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2016 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2016 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2015 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2015 PT-101D No records to display. Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2016 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2016 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2016 PT-102B Notes Taps frozen due to failure of Heat tracing insulation Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2016 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2016 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2016 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2014 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2016 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2014 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2016 Image: Commissioned Ian 1 2016 Image: Commissioned Ian 1	LT-102B (LOW)		Uescription			16	May 1 2017		×
+ Add New Test Date Test P B Sensor Test Details No records to display. Date PT-101D (LOW) PT-101D (LIGH) PT-102B (HIGH) PT-102B (LOW) PT-102B (LOW) PT-102B (LOW) Insert Cancel	<u>LT-110 (LOW)</u>		2014 Date Decommissioned			16	Dec 31 2016		×
PT-101D (HIGH) No records to display. Image: Sensor Test Details 16 Aug 15 2017 Image: Sensor Test Details PT-101D (LOW) Date Dec 17 2016 Image: Sensor Test Details						14	Jan 1 2015		×
PT-TOLD Nesult Failed Image: Association of the association of the astociation of th			Sensor Test Details)16	Aug 15 2017		×
PT-102B (HIGH) PT-102B (LOW) PT-103A/C.						14	Jan 1 2016		×
LOW Insert Cancel			Notes Taps frozen due to failure of						×
			Insert Cancel						×
				Update	Cancel				×

Reporting

Vertigo has extensive and flexible means for reporting data out of the database into a variety of report types and formats. Multiple reports have been defined for various stages in the SIS safety lifecycle and different activities. Each report allows customization of the scope of information that can be selected to report.

Generate Report	t 🗌	Tag	IPF Description	IPF Type	Selecte SIL
Overview	•	USC-101A	High Pressure Separator (V-101) High-High Pressure Closes Inlet Valve	SIF	SIL 2
 IPF List SIL Verification Summary 	M	USC-101B	High Pressure Separator (V-101) Low-Low Pressure Closes Inlet Valve	SIF	SIL 1
SIL Verification Details		USC-101C	High Pressure Separator (V-101) High-High Level Closes Inlet Valve	SIF	No SIL
	Z	USC-101D	High Pressure Separator (V-101) Low-Low Level Closes Liquid Outlet Valve	SIF	SIL 1
Documents	•	USC-102A	Low Pressure Separator (V-102) High-High Pressure Closes Inlet Valve	SIF	SIL 1
SRS		USC-102B	Low Pressure Separator (V-102) Low-Low Pressure Closes Inlet Valve	SIF	SIL 1
SRS General Requirements Cause and Effect Diagrams		USC-102C	Low Pressure Separator (V-102) High-High Level Closes Inlet Valve	SIF	SIL 2
····· IPF Attributes		USC-102D	Low Pressure Separator (V-102) Low-Low Level Closes Liquid Outlet Valve	SIF	No SIL
IPF Comprehensive		USC-102E	Low Pressure Separator (V-102) Low-Low Level Stops Pump	SIF	SIL 2



When a report is generated, it is presented in the user interface. From there it can be viewed and directly printed. Also, the report can be exported in a variety of formats for further processing.

	2 of 52 >	Export to the se Export to the se Acrobat (PDF) fi	lected format	ort 😘 🖭	_			
Input Works	sheet	Excel Worksheet TIFF file Word Document	OIJE	n Details	;			
		V-101) High-High Pressure	Closes Inlet Valve					
Overall Input Pe		, , , ,						
Overall PFD _{avg}	1.47E-02		Max SIL Achieved	I (PFD _{avg})	SIL 1		_	
Fault Tolerance	0		Max SIL Approve	d	SIL 1			
Overall STR (Per Ho	ur) 9.06E-07		Input Group Logic	:	1001			
Input Group Loo	op List							
Input Tag		Voting	Safe Failure Faction	Test Interval (Months)	PFDavg	STR (per hour)	_	
PT-101D (HIGH)		1001	39.4 %	12	1.47E-02	9.06E-07	_	
Service Description	e <mark>tails: PT-101D (H</mark> High Pressure Separat 12 Months							
Service Description	High Pressure Separat 12 Months	or	λ _{SD} *	λ _{SU} *	λ _{DD}	*	λ _{DU} *	λ _{DN} *
Service Description Test Interval Element	High Pressure Separat 12 Months Instrur	or Voting 1oo1	λ _{SD} * 1.50E-07	λ _{SU} * 0.00E+00	λ _{DD} 7.56E		λ _{DU} * 5.94E-07	λ _{DN} * ~
Service Description Test Interval Element Sensor	High Pressure Separat 12 Months Instrur Pressure Transmitter	voting 1001 ment Type - Generic (Hi Trip / Diag /				-07		
Service Description Test Interval Element Sensor Process Connection	High Pressure Separat 12 Months Instrur Pressure Transmitter Clean)	voting 1001 ment Type - Generic (Hi Trip / Diag /	1.50E-07	0.00E+00	7.56E	-07	5.94E-07	~
Service Description Test Interval Element Sensor Process Connection Sensor Interface 1	High Pressure Separat 12 Months Instrur Pressure Transmitter Clean)	voting 1001 ment Type - Generic (Hi Trip / Diag /	1.50E-07	0.00E+00	7.56E	-07	5.94E-07	~
Service Description Test Interval Element Sensor Process Connection Sensor Interface 1 Sensor Interface 2 Loop Total	High Pressure Separat 12 Months Instrum Pressure Transmitter Clean) Tubing - Generic (Clean)	or Voting 1oo1 ment Type - Generic (Hi Trip / Diag / an Service)	1.50E-07	0.00E+00	7.56E	-07 +00	5.94E-07	~
Service Description Test Interval Element Sensor Process Connection Sensor Interface 1 Sensor Interface 2 Loop Total	High Pressure Separat 12 Months Instrur Pressure Transmitter Clean)	or Voting 1oo1 ment Type - Generic (Hi Trip / Diag / an Service)	1.50E-07 0.00E+00 1.50E-07	0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00	7.56E 0.00E	-07 +00	5.94E-07 8.00E-07	~ ~
Service Description Test Interval Element Sensor Process Connection Sensor Interface 1 Sensor Interface 2 Loop Total	High Pressure Separat 12 Months Instrum Pressure Transmitter Clean) Tubing - Generic (Clean in units of failures per h	or Voting 1oo1 ment Type - Generic (Hi Trip / Diag / an Service)	1.50E-07 0.00E+00	0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00	7.56E 0.00E	-07 +00 -07	5.94E-07 8.00E-07	~ ~ ~ 1.39E-07
Service Description I Test Interval Element Sensor Process Connection Sensor Interface 1 Sensor Interface 2 Loop Total All failure rates are i Failure Componen	High Pressure Separat 12 Months Instrum Pressure Transmitter Clean) Tubing - Generic (Clean n units of failures per h nt Fa	or Voting 1oo1 ment Type - Generic (Hi Trip / Diag / an Service) iour	1.50E-07 0.00E+00 1.50E-07	0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00	7.56E 0.00E	-07 +00 -07	5.94E-07 8.00E-07 1.25E-06	~ ~ ~ 1.39E-07
Service Description I Test Interval Element Sensor Process Connection Sensor Interface 1 Sensor Interface 2 Loop Total * All failure rates are i Failure Componer Dangerous Undetector	High Pressure Separat 12 Months Instrum Pressure Transmitter Clean) Tubing - Generic (Clean n units of failures per h nt Fa	or Voting 1oo1 ment Type - Generic (Hi Trip / Diag / an Service) 	1.50E-07 0.00E+00 1.50E-07 PFD _{avg} Contribut	0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00	7.56E 0.00E	-07 +00 -07 STR Contri	5.94E-07 8.00E-07 1.25E-06	~ ~ ~ 1.39E-07
Service Description 1 Test Interval Element Sensor Process Connection Sensor Interface 1 Sensor Interface 2 Loop Total * All failure rates are i	High Pressure Separat 12 Months Instrum Pressure Transmitter Clean) Tubing - Generic (Clean) in units of failures per h at Fe ed MTTF	or Voting 1oo1 ment Type - Generic (Hi Trip / Diag / an Service) 	1.50E-07 0.00E+00 1.50E-07 PFD _{avg} Contribut	0.00E+00 0.00E+00 0.00E+00	7.56E 0.00E	-07 +00 -07 STR Contri 0.	5.94E-07 8.00E-07 1.25E-06 bution (Per Hou	~ ~ ~ 1.39E-07

Validation

Vertigo has been extensively validated to demonstrate that the results presented are accurate. The validation includes traceability of all equations and calculation methods back to standards body documents describing calculation requirements, manual calculations of all steps in the calculation process for all potential variations in all parameters affecting the calculations.

